# Determination of the location of the technological equipment on the chassis of the oil field aggregates 

# Wyznaczenie najlepszego miejsca montażu urządzeń technologicznych na podwoziu pojazdów naprawczych na polach naftowych 

Ramiz Hasanov, Musa Kazimov<br>Department of Oil Mechanics of Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Baku, Azerbaijan


#### Abstract

Currently, it is impossible to imagine the repair and drilling of any well without the use of modern mobile equipment. With technological progress, not only new development technologies in the branch of field exploitation appear, but also new equipment with which oil and gas wells are repaired and reconstructed. Today, oil production is mainly carried out by the operation of wells without a pumping derrick, which makes it possible to sharply reduce the cost of metal and funds. Operations of downhole equipment lifting and tripping in a well are carried out using self-propelled mobile units representing tracked or wheeled transport vehicles on which a derrick (mast) with a winch, transmission and hoisting system is mounted. Lifting units are equipped with a mechanism for raising the mast to the working position and lowering it to the transport position. Installations with a lifting capacity of up to 32 tons inclusive are designed for lifting operations during routine underground repair of wells, whereas over 32 tons minimal lift capacity are required during major repairs and well development. The mobile unit is subjected to oscillatory movements both during transportation along unpaved, semi - destroyed, muddy and snow-covered roads to the well (for development, repair), and during well drilling. The frequency of the oscillating movement depends on the state of the uneven road. The unevenness of the road is expressed by spectral functions. In this case, both the chassis and the body of the mobile unit, as well as the technological equipment located on it, are subjected to oscillatory movements. As a result, technological equipment, as well as devices and equipment located on it, lose their accuracy, which leads to accidents both during drilling and during operation. Therefore, in order to minimize the influence of oscillatory movements on the operability of technological equipment, a technique was developed for technological equipment positioning on the chassis of a mobile unit at an optimal scheme according to the proposed method.
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#### Abstract

STRESZCZENIE: Obecnie nie można sobie wyobrazić naprawy i wiercenia otworów bez nowoczesnego sprzętu. Wraz z postępem technologicznym następuje nie tylko rozwój nowych technologii w zakresie eksploatacji złóż, ale także nowych urządzeń, za pomocą których dokonuje się napraw i rekonstrukcji odwiertów ropnych i gazowych. Obecnie wydobycie ropy naftowej odbywa się głównie poprzez eksploatację otworów bez wieży wydobywczo-obróbczej, co pozwala na znaczne obniżenie kosztów zakupu stali i innych nakładów finansowych. Operacje podnoszenia i zapuszczania wyposażenia wgłębnego w otworze wykonywane są za pomocą samobieżnych jednostek mobilnych, obejmujących gąsienicowe lub kołowe pojazdy transportowe, na których zamontowana jest wieża wiertnicza (maszt) z wciągarką, przekładnią i urządzeniem dźwigowym. Jednostki podnoszące wyposażone są w mechanizm podnoszenia masztu do pozycji roboczej i opuszczania go do pozycji transportowej. Instalacje o udźwigu do 32 ton włącznie przeznaczone są do operacji podnoszenia podczas bieżących napraw odwiertów, a powyżej 32 ton - do poważnych napraw i prac w odwiertach. Jednostka mobilna jest poddawana ruchom oscylacyjnym zarówno podczas transportu po nieutwardzonych, częściowo zniszczonych, błotnistych i zaśnieżonych drogach prowadzących do odwiertu (w celu wykonania prac, napraw), jak i podczas wiercenia otworu. Częstotliwość ruchu oscylacyjnego zależy od wysokości profilu nierówności drogi. Nierówności drogi wyrażają funkcje spektralne. W takim przypadku zarówno podwozie, jak i korpus jednostki mobilnej, a także usytuowane na niej wyposażenie technologiczne poddawane są ruchom oscylacyjnym. Powoduje to, że sprzęt technologiczny, jak również znajdujące się na nim urządzenia i wyposażenie tracą swoją precyzyjność, co prowadzi do wypadków zarówno podczas wiercenia, jak i podczas prac operacyjnych w odwiertach. Dlatego w celu zminimalizowania wpływu ruchów oscylacyjnych na sprawność urządzeń technologicznych opracowano technikę usytuowania urządzeń technologicznych na podwoziu jednostki mobilnej w optymalnym schemacie, zgodnie z proponowaną metodą.


Słowa kluczowe: odwierty ropne i gazowe, bazy transportowe, częstotliwość wibracji, ruchy oscylacyjne, projekt, optymalne usytuowanie, sprzęt technologiczny.
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## Introduction

The main way to sustain the levels of oil recovery in developed oil and gas horizons is maintaining active and inactive well installations and drilling new production wells. Work in these areas is carried out using technical complexes of various solutions, among which a special place is given to mobile facilities with appropriate transport and technical base. These mobile complexes are designed for re-positioning during drilling, development, repair and restoration of oil and gas wells in all climatic regions. Purposeful research work was carried out in this direction in the USA, Russia, Ukraine, China, as well as in Kazakhstan and Azerbaijan, as a result of which the newest mobile complexes such as KORO 80/100, ARB-100/125, X 1750, etc. were developed (Figure 1). The main equipment of mobile units with the help of which lifting operations are carried out include lifting winches, derricks, pumping units, etc. mounted on self-propelled transport bases. Tracked tractors T-100, T-130, T-150, T-170 are selected as transport bases, and KAMAZ, KRAZ, MZKT, KZKT, MAZ-537 and others are selected as wheelbases (Rig for repair and exploration of oil and gas wells, 2012; Lifting device AM 14/40.2010.CONFIND).

When transporting to the drilling site (for development, repairing) by dirt roads, mobile installations undergo oscillatory movements, as a result of which the equipment and instruments positioned on the chassis of the unit lose their functional accuracy.

It is known that many roads in oil and gas fields are semidestroyed or barely maintained. During exploration, drilling and operations on the fields, the required equipment and devices are installed on the chassis of all-terrain machines
that are repositioned by movement along these roads. Such roads can be described by different spectral functions of irregularities. When machines move on these, apparatus and devices that are on the chassis make non-stationary oscillatory movements, which can lead to their failure. Therefore, these devices and apparatus must be placed on the chassis of the machines in such a way that the vibration frequency is minimal (Tinajin DFXK Petroleum Machinery Co., Ltd.; Sichuan Kunlun Petroleum Equipment Manufacturing Co., Ltd.; Lifting device AM 14/40.2010.CONFIND).

## Solution of the problem

Let there be a machine with three axles, with one axle at the front of the machine and two close axles at the rear of the machine. On the body there are five loads with masses $M_{1}$, $M_{2}, M_{3}, M_{4}, M_{5}$, the distances from the center of gravity of which are $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}$, respectively. The distance between the front axle and the midpoint between the rear axles is denoted by $L$. Distances $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l_{5}$ are to be determined for optimal distribution of loads on the machine body. Oscillations in the longitudinal plane when driving on a road characterized by a clamped spectral function of irregularities are then to be considered. The design diagram is shown in the Figure 2.

Here: $P$ - is the total weight of the machine; $\bar{P}_{1}, \bar{P}_{2}, \bar{P}_{3}$, respectively - are the forces of interaction of the suspensions of the front and rear axles with the body; $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, M_{4}$, $M_{5}$ - are the weights of masses on the body. Considering the minimal distance between the rear axles and the low magnitude of bending deformations, the reactions of the two rear axles will be replaced by one resultant $\bar{P}_{2}^{\prime}$, i.e. $\bar{P}_{2}^{\prime}=\bar{P}_{3}+\bar{P}_{2}$. Let us create equations of motion, choosing as coordinates the vertical displacements of the front $Y_{10}$ and rear $Y_{20}$ axles and the points of the body $Y_{1}$ and $Y$ located above them. The heights of the irregularities under the front and rear wheels will be designated $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$, respectively. Let us also denote by $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ the masses of the front and rear axles; $M, J$ - are the mass and moment of inertia of the body relative to the central axis perpendicular to the plane of the drawing; and $c_{m}, c, v-$ are the stiffness values of tires, suspensions and the coefficient of viscosity of friction in shock absorbers for left and right suspension elements (Dedkov and Yurikov, 2014; Grigoriev et al., 2020).


Figure 2. Oscillation pattern in the longitudinal plane when driving on a road characterized by a given spectral function of irregularities

Rysunek 2. Wzór drgań w płaszczyźnie podłużnej podczas jazdy po drodze charakteryzującej się daną spektralną funkcją nierówności

$$
y_{0}(c+i v \omega)=y\left(c+i v \omega-\bar{M} \omega^{2}\right)
$$

and from the first, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
y\left[\left(c_{m}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(c+i v-\bar{M} \omega^{2}\right)-\right. \\
\left.-\bar{M} \omega^{2}(c+i v \omega)\right]=c_{m}(c+i v \omega) z
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the complex frequency response of the vertical movement of the body has the following form (Robotnov, 1988):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{y}(i \omega)=\frac{Y}{Z}=\frac{y}{z}=  \tag{7}\\
=\frac{c_{m}(c+i v \omega)}{\left(c_{m}-m \omega^{2}\right)\left(c+i v-\bar{M} \omega^{2}\right)-\bar{M} \omega^{2}(c+i v \omega)}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further, knowing the spectral function of road irregularities $S_{2}(\omega)$, we can calculate the spectral displacement function:

$$
S_{y}(\omega)=\left|\Phi\left(i \omega^{2}\right)\right|^{2} S_{z}(\omega)
$$

The spectral function of road irregularities is obtained from the experimental correlation function $K_{z}(x)$. Let the road correlation function be expressed by the formula:

$$
K_{z}(x)=D_{z} e^{-\gamma|x|}
$$

When moving at a speed $V, S_{z}(\omega)$ has the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{z}(\omega)=\frac{1}{\gamma} S_{0}\left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma}\right)=D_{z} \frac{2 \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2}}  \tag{8}\\
\gamma_{1}=\gamma V
\end{gather*}
$$

The spectral body acceleration function will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S y^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=S_{z}(\omega)\left|\Phi_{y^{\prime \prime}}(i \omega)\right|^{2}=\omega^{4} S_{z}(\omega)|\Phi(i \omega)|^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (8) into (9), we have:

$$
S y^{\prime \prime}(\omega)=D_{z} \frac{2 \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}^{2}+\omega^{2}} \frac{c_{m}^{2}}{M^{2} m^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left(c^{2}+v^{2} \omega^{2}\right) \omega^{4}}{|F(\omega)|^{2}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\omega)=\omega^{4}-\omega^{2}\left(\frac{c_{m}}{m}+\frac{c}{m}+\frac{c}{\bar{M}}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{c_{m} c}{\bar{m} \bar{M}}-i \frac{V}{\bar{M}}\left[\omega^{3}\left(1+\frac{\bar{M}}{m}\right)-\omega \frac{c_{m}}{m}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the acceleration variance is:

$$
D_{y^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} S_{y^{\prime \prime}}(\omega) d \omega
$$

Therefore, the root-mean-square acceleration experienced by a vehicle when driving on an uneven road is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma y^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{D_{y^{\prime \prime}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In finding the root-mean-square accelerations for individual masses $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, M_{4}, M_{5}$ through their displacements $U_{1}$, $U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4}, U_{5}$ we obtain:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
U_{1}=\frac{y_{1}-y_{2}}{L}\left(a-l_{1}\right)+y_{1}=\frac{a-l_{1}}{L} y_{2}+\frac{b+l_{1}}{L} y_{1} \\
U_{2}=\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{L}\left(a+l_{2}\right)+y_{1}=\frac{a+l_{2}}{L} y_{2}+\frac{b-l_{2}}{L} y_{1} \\
U_{3}=\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{L}\left(a+l_{3}\right)+y_{1}=\frac{a+l_{3}}{L} y_{2}+\frac{b-l_{3}}{L} y_{1}  \tag{11}\\
U_{4}=\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{L}\left(a+l_{4}\right)+y_{1}=\frac{a+l_{4}}{L} y_{2}+\frac{b-l_{4}}{L} y_{1} \\
U_{5}=\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{L}\left(a+l_{5}\right)+y_{1}=\frac{a+l_{5}}{L} y_{2}+\frac{b-l_{5}}{L} y_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Hence, for the root-mean-square acceleration we get:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sigma U_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{a-l_{1}}{L} \sqrt{D y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{b+l_{1}}{L} \sqrt{D y_{1}^{\prime \prime}} ;  \tag{12}\\
\sigma U_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{a+l_{1}}{L} \sqrt{D y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{b-l_{1}}{L} \sqrt{D y_{1}^{\prime \prime}} ; i=\overline{2.5}
\end{array}\right.
$$

we now introduce the notation $l_{i}=-l_{i} ; i=\overline{2.5}$.
Let us define the minimum values $\sigma U_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ depending on $l_{i}$. As follows from (12):

$$
\frac{\delta \sigma U_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{\delta l_{k}}\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \neq k  \tag{13}\\
\frac{1}{L} \sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}-D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}} & i \neq k
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where: $i, k=\overline{1}, \overline{5}$.
Since $\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}-D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}} \neq 0$ in general, quantities (13) gain their minima on the boundary $l_{i}$. In contrast, $0 \leq l_{i} \leq+a$, if $M_{i}$ is in front of the center of gravity and $0 \leq l_{i} \leq+b$, if $M_{i}$ is behind the center of gravity. Then, in case $0 \leq l_{i} \leq+a$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}=\frac{1}{L}\left(a \sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}+b \sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}\right)  \tag{14}\\
\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and for the case $0 \leq l_{i} \leq+b$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}=\frac{1}{L}\left(a \sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}+b \sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}\right)  \tag{15}\\
\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

1. Consider the case when $0 \leq l_{i} \leq+a$,
let us suppose $\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}>\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}$ and $\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}+\Delta D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}$, then from (15) we have:

$$
\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}+\frac{a}{L} \Delta D_{2}^{\prime \prime}
$$

In this case $\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}>\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=-a}}$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=-a}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{i}^{\prime \prime}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { If } \sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}<\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}} \text { and } \sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}-\Delta D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \\
\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{i=0}=\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}-\frac{a}{L} \Delta D_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}
$$

In this case $\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{i=0}<\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=-a}}$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{i=-a}=\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}} \frac{a}{L}+\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}} \frac{b}{L} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Consider the case when $0 \leq l_{i} \leq+b$,
let us suppose $\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}>\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}$ and $\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{3}^{\prime \prime}}}+\Delta D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}$, then from (16) we have:

$$
\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}-\frac{b}{L} \Delta D_{1}^{\prime \prime}
$$

that is $\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}>\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=-a}}$, therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=-a}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}} \cdot \frac{a}{L}+\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}} \frac{b}{L} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}<\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}$ and $\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}-\Delta D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}$, then
$\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{i_{i=0}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}+\frac{b}{L} \Delta D_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=0}}>\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{i=0}$, hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}=\left.\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right|_{l_{i=b}}=\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the unevenness of the road is the same for both the front and rear axles and $m_{2}>m_{1}$, therefore, $\sqrt{D_{y_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}>\sqrt{D_{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}}}$, then the main conditions for placing the mass between the front axle and the center of gravity are cases (17) and (19), and in order for $\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$ to be minimal, it should be placed above the front axle. In the case where the mass needs to be placed between the rear axle and the center of gravity, for a minimum $\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$, it should be placed in the center of gravity. In this case, the masses should be arranged so that the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{5} M_{i} l_{i}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (20), it follows that the origin is at the center of gravity, that is, for masses that are to the left of the center of gravity $l_{i}<0$, and for masses that are to the right of the center of gravity $l_{i}>0$, since (17), (19), (20) are incompatible, then for the minimality of $\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$ one should take $l_{i}=0 ; i=\overline{1.5}$. In this case, the minimum condition is satisfied (Robotonov, 1988; Plotnikov et al., 2021).

Now suppose that $M_{1}>M_{2}>M_{3}>M_{4}>M_{5}$, the corresponding sizes of these masses will be denoted by $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}(i=\overline{1.5})$, where $A_{i}$ is the size in the direction of the $x$-axis; $B_{i}$ are the $y$-axes; $C_{i}$ - along the $z$-axis. Thus, we will place system OXYZ so that the origin of coordinates coincides with the center of gravity, the $x$-axis is directed along the length of the machine, the $z$-axis is across the machine, and the $y$-axis is perpendicular to the plane $o x z$. In addition, the masses $M_{i}$ must be in:

$$
D_{1}\left\{-\frac{a_{1}}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{a_{1}}{2} ;-\frac{b_{1}}{2} \leq y \leq \frac{b_{1}}{2} ;-\frac{c_{1}}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{c_{1}}{2}\right\} ;
$$

of course, $a_{i}>A_{i}, B_{i}>b_{i}, c_{i}>C_{i}$. Under these conditions, for minimal $\sigma_{U_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$, the largest mass, that is, $M_{1}$ must be in the center of gravity. Moreover, the mass $M_{i}$ must be at a point that is at a distance $l_{2}=A_{1} / 2+A_{2} / 2$ from the center of gravity. Furthermore, in order for condition (20) to be satisfied, mass $M_{3}$ must be at a distance $l_{3}=-M_{2} / M_{3} \cdot l_{2}$ from the center of gravity. Arguing in a similar way, we derive:

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{4}=l_{3}-\frac{A_{4}}{2}=-\frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}} l_{2}-\frac{A_{4}}{2}=-\left[\frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}} \frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{A_{4}}{2}\right] \\
l_{5}=-\frac{M_{4}}{M_{5}} l_{4}=\frac{M_{4}}{M_{5}}\left[-\frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}} \frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{A_{4}}{2}\right] \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, for the optimal distribution of the given masses on the plane $O X Y$ under the given conditions for the distances from the center of gravity, we obtain:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
l_{1}=0 \\
l_{2}=\frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2}  \tag{22}\\
l_{3}=-\frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}} \frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2} \\
l_{4}=-\left[\frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}} \frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{A_{4}}{2}\right] \\
l_{5}=\frac{M_{4}}{M_{5}}\left[-\frac{M_{2}}{M_{3}} \frac{\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{A_{4}}{2}\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Conditions (22) mean that the most massive body should be in the center of gravity, the second and fifth bodies should be in the front, and the third and fourth bodies - in the rear part of the body.

The obtained expressions make it possible to determine the optimal structures of the distribution of technological equipment of all kinds of units designed to perform various technological operations on wells.

## Discussion

The developed model allows solving the problem of layout of the technological base at the design level. This makes it possible to significantly reduce the possible emergency scenarios when moving the location and operation of mobile oilfield units and thereby significantly reduce the cost of their services.

To solve these problems, we do not bypass access to the technology of the fishing area, as well as the required design challenges and operational characteristics of the developed mobile installations, which makes it difficult to use the developed model to create their technological base.

To reduce the frequency characteristics of vibrations, the technological base when relocating a mobile installation in fishing conditions, the masses of the base elements from the center of mass of the installation are used. The mass of the elements of the base $m_{i}$ are represented by the coordinates of the location of its center of mass, which are characterized by the distances $L_{i}$.

## Results

As a result of theoretical research in the article, a method was developed for determining the minimum vibration frequency of individual parts of the vehicle body when they move on minimally developed and badly maintained dirt roads. The obtained analytical expressions make it possible to determine the optimal distance for devices and devices from the center of gravity of machines, depending on their mass, which can significantly reduce the effect of vibrations on units and devices installed on the body of the machine. It has been proven that the most convenient place for more sensitive devices and devices are the points of the hold located on the front axle of the vehicle. The developed methodology can also be applied for optimal placement of equipment on offshore platforms.
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